



# Essays on econometrics of panel data and treatment models

Dissertation présentée en vue de l'obtention du titre de Docteur en  
Sciences économiques et de gestion

Le 13 Septembre 2013

par  
**Gianluca Papa**

Sous la direction des Professeurs MATHIAS DEWATRIPONT and MICHELE CINCERA

Membres du jury :

Marco BECHT  
Michele CINCERA  
Dirk CZARNITZKI  
Mathias DEWATRIPONT  
Marjorie GASSNER  
Bruno VAN POTTELSBERGHE

Université Libre de Bruxelles  
Université Libre de Bruxelles  
KU Leuven  
Université Libre de Bruxelles  
Université Libre de Bruxelles  
Université Libre de Bruxelles

Année Académique 2012-2013

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Outside financing of investment and R&amp;D in the 90's. A cross country comparison between USA, UK and Japan</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1 <i>Introduction</i>	7
1.2 <i>An error correction model</i>	11
1.3 <i>Econometric specification</i>	13
1.4 <i>Data description</i>	14
1.5 <i>Estimation results and conclusions</i>	17
1.6 <i>References Chapter 1</i>	24
1.7 <i>Appendix 1.A: Data Definition</i>	27
1.8 <i>Appendix 1.B: GMM Estimates With Full Set of Instruments</i>	28
1.9 <i>Appendix 1.C: tests on cash flow coefficients</i>	31
<b>Financial leverage and managerial compensation: Evidence from the UK</b>	<b>33</b>
2.1 <i>Introduction</i>	33
2.2 <i>Empirical analysis of the effects of financial leverage on pay-for-performance sensitivity</i>	36
2.2.1 <i>Data description</i>	36
2.2.2 <i>Estimation strategy and empirical results</i>	38
2.2.3 <i>The collapse of the stock market tech bubble as a source of exogenous variation in firm's debt</i>	41
2.2.4 <i>Additional results on TSR and variance of TSR</i>	46
2.3 <i>Possible explanations for a non-monotonic relationship between financial leverage and pay-for-performance sensitivity</i>	46
2.3.1 <i>Financial leverage and managerial compensation: a simple theoretical illustration</i>	47
2.4 <i>Concluding remarks</i>	51
2.5 <i>References Chapter 2</i>	52
<b>Public funds additionality in R&amp;D expenditure in presence of essential heterogeneity: an empirical investigation using the Italian CIS3 data</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1 <i>Introduction</i>	57
3.2 <i>Literature review</i>	59
3.3 <i>Econometric methodology</i>	60
3.3.1 <i>Measuring additionality: ATE and ATT</i>	64
3.4 <i>Empirical model</i>	65
3.5 <i>Data</i>	69
3.6 <i>Estimation results</i>	71
3.6.1 <i>First-step results: determinants of expected subsidies</i>	71
3.6.2 <i>First-step results: additionality of public subsidies on R&amp;D probability</i>	72
3.6.3 <i>Second-step results: additionality of public subsidies on R&amp;D intensity</i>	75
3.7 <i>Conclusions</i>	78
3.8 <i>References Chapter 3</i>	79
3.9 <i>Appendix 3.A: Some computations of the econometric model</i>	83
3.10 <i>Appendix 3.B: Accounting Variables</i>	86
3.11 <i>Appendix 3.C: Categorical variables and Principal Component Analysis</i>	87
3.12 <i>Appendix 3.D: Main results of the model showing coefficients and p-values</i>	91
<b>The public health expenditure in Italy - the regional variability in per capita spending: to which extent it is justified?</b>	<b>95</b>
4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	95
4.2 <i>Data description</i>	98
4.3 <i>Estimation strategy and first analysis of the results</i>	102

<i>4.4 Efficiency of the regional health systems - An analysis through the Efficiency Frontier</i>	<i>110</i>
4.4.1 A multi-dimensional indicator of data quality	110
4.4.2 A DEA approach to measuring the Efficiency Frontier	115
4.4.3 The DEA applied to the production of healthcare: a four-dimensional Efficiency Frontier	119
4.4.4 Robustness check of the EF	123
4.4.4.1 Partial Frontier Approaches	123
4.4.4.2 Alternative modelling of expenditure determinants	125
<i>4.5 The determinants of inefficiency – some considerations</i>	<i>125</i>
<i>4.6 Conclusions</i>	<i>127</i>
<i>4.7 References Chapter 4</i>	<i>128</i>
<i>4.8 Appendix 4.A - List of quality indicators of health care used in the study</i>	<i>131</i>